

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/492,288 | 01/27/2000 | Kenji Yoshioka | 0102/0097 | 9693 | |
| 21395 7 | 590 12/03/2002 | | | | |
| LOUIS WOO | | EXAMINER | | INER | |
| 717 NORTH F | OF LOUIS WOO AYETTE STREET | | NGUYEN, DAVID Q | | |
| ALEXANDRIA | A, VA 22314 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | • | 2682 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002 | DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 3 | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/492,288 | YOSHIOKA ET AL. | | | |
| Advicery Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | David Q Nguyen | 2682 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply to a | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | |
| | | NOTELLA | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the | | | | | |
| issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed amendment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | reconsideration has been consideration. | dered but does NOT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: None. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 14. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,12,13,15-17 and 19-23</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11, 18. | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disappr | roved by the Examiner. | | | |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| 0. Other: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | |



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Proposal amendment to claims 1, 20 adding "during two-way speech communication between said emergency report receiving center and said emergency reporting apparatus" and to claim 22 adding "in response to a desired volume signal" raise new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.

NGUYENT.VO PRIMARY EXAMINER